Preface

When I was starting in the field, a man more advanced than I mentioned that cloth grains speak to you from across the room. I was taken aback—they were not conversing with me. If they could speak, I was unable to hear them. I went on to learn, from reading contemporary accounts, that graining was a process of “imprinting all over the cloth, of a small and uniform pattern calculated to hide the barrenness and stiff uniformity of the threads in the cloth.” Graining persisted for sixty years through a succession of patterns, each usually quite limited in time.

By 1890, a natural look was in favor for bookcloth. One critic wrote dismissively that cloths “with arbitrary grains” were no longer wanted. Grainings went out of fashion and are not seen on bookcloths today. But they remain on the nineteenth-century books—the plentiful brown rib grains, the rare pansy-face grains, the often amazing beauty of the ribbon-embossed patterns.

The grains are important. Not only can we date bindings by a knowledge of grain development, but they are also an essential part of our tactile and visual experience of the book covers. They are historical, unique, uncopyable. They excite our wonder and curiosity. Such recent scholars as Blanck, Tanselle, and Ball have carried their study forward and offered models for naming and description, with a selection of pictured representations.

In the history of the mechanization of cotton spinning and weaving (1780-1820), several names stand out—Kay’s flying shuttle, Hargreaves’s spinning jenny. A good portion of inventive England seemed absorbed in solving problems of individual parts. Then came Richard Arkwright, gifted with the ability to see the whole, how to put the parts together in sequence to produce machine-made cotton.

In her own field, Andrea Krupp has accomplished something of what Sir Richard Arkwright did in his. Taking the beginnings of others, building on them with discipline and scholarship, she has created a working system of cloth grain nomenclature and identification.
Advances in photographic reproduction allow the showing of a picture of every grain, referenced by name and number. To me the most valuable feature is the precise date span assigned to each grain— provisionally, since this is a system destined to expand.

Now, in truth, cloth grains speak a language—a language we can all learn to read. Andrea’s book provides its dictionary and grammar—concise, handy, indispensable. It would be impossible to embark on any consideration of cloth grains without it.

Sue Allen
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Bookcloth in England and America, 1823–50

PART I: EARLY BOOKCLOTH

In 1823, a London bookbinder named Archibald Leighton collaborated with a dyer to transform plain cotton fabric into a material suited to the covering of books. Bookcloth, as it came to be known, was easy to work with, easy to decorate, and much cheaper than leather. The new binding material soon revolutionized bookbinding—both the process and the product—in England and America. Book historians such as Michael Sadler, John Carter, Joseph Rogers, Douglas Ball, and most recently William Tomlinson and Richard Masters have told this story of invention. However, the history of the early period of bookcloth, from the first experiments up to the time when manufacturers perfected the product and launched an industry, from 1823 to about 1850, remains frustratingly obscure.

Little is known about how, where, and by whom early bookcloth was produced. Primary source material that would provide documentary evidence about the manufacture and use of bookcloth during the first decades of its existence, such as advertisements, patents, and publishers’ and binders’ records, remains scarce. However, cloth-bound books

3 Some of the earliest U.S. patents exist only as subject entries in an index, the
themselves are a rich source of empirical information, and repositories such as the Library Company of Philadelphia hold them in plentiful supply. Since 1995, the Library Company’s Chief of Conservation, Jennifer Woods Rosner, and I have been compiling data about the structure and appearance of cloth-covered bindings in our collection. The Database of Nineteenth-century Cloth Bindings currently contains data on approximately 3,000 books published between 1824 and 1900. Each entry comprises over sixty fields of information that record the details of the book’s structure and appearance. This article focuses on two of those recorded elements: the date of publication as given on the title-page and the grain of the cloth. While compiling the database we identified 160 new bookcloth grain patterns. The majority of them, 135, first appear on American books published before 1850.\textsuperscript{4} By way of comparison, current resources illustrate fifty-seven different bookcloth grains,\textsuperscript{5} and only seventeen of them are pre-1850 patterns.

The study of nineteenth-century bookbindings is advancing with increased attention to the materiality of the book and the information that can be gleaned from it, because documentary evidence is so rare. Accordingly, the study of bookcloth grain patterns by necessity must progress towards increasingly detailed and specific identification and away from generalized divisions of grain patterns by family. The large number of bookcloth grains that has been amassed underscores the need for a detailed and accurate “field guide” that records individual cloth grain pat-

\begin{itemize}
\item actual patents having burned in the great Patent Office fire of 15 December 1836. Three of these were especially promising: a ribbon smoothing and glossing machine, 1817; cloth dressing and ornamenting, 1821; manufacture of figured fabrics, 1834.
\item Both totals include 104 “ribbon-embossed” patterns. These decorative bookcloth grain patterns in floral, geometric, and abstract designs are discussed later in this article. Their dates of use range from the early to mid-1830s through the 1840s.
\end{itemize}
terns. For example, current resources illustrate two rib grains, but we have identified ten different rib grains, each with a quantifiable distinguishing characteristic. Furthermore, each one is associated with a limited range of dates, and eight of these rib-grain patterns first appear before 1850. Acute diagonal rib (rib9) is an example of a highly individual, easily recognizable, and potentially significant early rib-grain pattern. I have recorded nine examples of acute diagonal rib at the Library Company, and all date from 1832 to 1837. Though rare, examples of this unique grain will seem to pop off the shelf once your eyes have learned to spot it.

In all, 247 grain patterns⁶ have been assembled to create a new “field guide” for identifying and dating nineteenth-century bookcloth. The Catalogue of Nineteenth-century Bookcloth Grains (CBG) appears in its entirety at the end of this article. The existing “descriptive” nomenclature of cloth grains provided a model for naming new patterns that was followed whenever possible.⁷ It is important to remember that the data presented in the CBG is drawn primarily from the Database of Nineteenth-century Cloth Bindings. Approximately one-quarter of the cloth bindings in the Library Company of Philadelphia’s collection of predominantly pre-1860 American imprints has been entered into the database to date. Seventy-one percent of the new grain patterns appear on American books printed before 1850, which may be partly due to the strong representation in our collection, and accordingly, the database, of pre-1860 imprints. But I believe that the diversity of cloth-grain patterns extant before 1850 is in itself an important and previously unrecognized aspect of the early history of bookcloth manufacture. Beyond amassing cloth grain varieties, the CBG records the dates of use for each grain as recorded in the Database of Nineteenth-century Cloth Bindings.⁸ Larger pools of data for both English and American imprints must be

⁶ This total includes 25 new patterns added to the CBG since the first printing of this article.
⁷ Part 2 of this article addresses the issues of nomenclature and descriptive vs. code-based systems of identification.
⁸ Bearing in mind the publishers’ practice of binding up parts of an edition sometimes many years after its initial publication, the dating of a particular bookcloth grain based solely upon the year of imprint can be unreliable. However, after examining thousands of examples of nineteenth-century cloth-bound books, one develops an eye for the “solitary exception,” as Carter put it, and the discipline to regard it with suspicion.